



What's more, except upon grounds of plain error, it shall also bar the party from appellate review of proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court to which no objections were filed.<sup>4</sup>

Having reviewed the R&R, the Court finds it neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law. Thus, the Court **ADOPTS** the R&R and **DENIES** Plaintiff's Motion to Remand. (Doc. 10).

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this 19th day of October, 2023.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'David Counts', with a stylized star-like flourish at the end.

DAVID COUNTS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150–53 (1985); *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam).